

Journal of Organometallic Chemistry 548 (1997) 191-194

Journal ofOrgano metallic Chemistry

## Mechanistic information of substitution reaction of $W(PhC \equiv CPh)_3(CO)$ with trimethylphosphine

Wenn-Yann Yeh \*, Shu-Min Chien

Department of Chemistry National, Sun Yat-Sen University, Kaohsiung 80424, Taiwan

Received 30 April 1997; received in revised form 1 July 1997

## Abstract

Substitution reaction of  $W(PhC \equiv CPh)_3(CO)$  (1) with  $PMe_3$  to give  $W(PhC \equiv CPh)_3(PMe_3)$  (2) has been studied by IR and NMR spectroscopy as well as cross-over experiments. A metastable intermediate  $W(CO)(PhC \equiv CPh)_2(PMe_3)_2$  (3) is produced by adding two PMe<sub>3</sub> moieties into 1 accompanied with loss of a PhC  $\equiv CPh$  ligand. Subsequent conversion from 3 to 2 might involve decarbonylation and PMe<sub>3</sub>/PhC  $\equiv CPh$  exchange. © 1997 Elsevier Science S.A.

Keywords: Trimethylphosphine; Spectroscopy; Ligand

Tetrahedral tris(alkyne) complexes of the type  $W(RC \equiv CR')_3(CO)$  [1–7] have played an important role in developing the chemistry of alkynes to act as twoand four-electron donors [8-12]. In addition, the alkyne ligands themselves can undergo interesting coupling reactions, leading to carbocyclic and metallacyclic complexes [13-19]. The analogous complexes  $W(RC \equiv CR')_{3}$  (phosphine) can be prepared by treating  $W(RC \equiv CR')_3(CO)$  with phosphine substrates [20–24]. Since the alkyne moieties are retained, this substitution reaction appears to proceed via either dissociation of the carbonyl ligand before phosphine being incorporated  $(S_{N}1)$ , or association of phosphine following by decarbonylation  $(S_N 2)$  [25]. However, our study on the reaction of W(PhC=CPh)<sub>3</sub>(CO) (1) [1,2] and PMe<sub>3</sub> reveals a multistep pathway, in which reversible dissociationassociation of the alkyne and phosphine ligands are also involved.

 $PMe_3$  (2.2 equiv.) was introduced into a dichloromethane solution of 1 at  $-78^{\circ}C$  under N<sub>2</sub>. The mixture was slowly warmed up to ambient temperature, leading to a color change from pale-yellow to deep red. The solution color faded away after 24 h, and

One species, formulated as  $W(PhC \equiv CPh)_2(CO)$ -(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (3)<sup>1</sup>, is discovered during the reaction, but attempts to isolate 3 in pure form have led to the mixture of 2 and 3. The <sup>31</sup>P(<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum recorded after combining 1 and PMe<sub>3</sub> in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> displays a singlet at -5.0 ppm (<sup>1</sup>J(W-P) = 140 Hz) for 2 and a singlet at -30.2 ppm (<sup>1</sup>J(W-P) = 262 Hz) for 3 in an approximate 1:1.5 ratio. The IR spectrum of the red solution shows that the CO stretching band for 1 at 2062 cm<sup>-1</sup> disappears and a new band at 1907 cm<sup>-1</sup> for 3 grows up. The <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum of 3 at 213 K (Fig. 1) presents a carbonyl resonance at 246.4 ppm with <sup>183</sup>W satellites (<sup>1</sup>J(W-C) = 136 Hz), indicating that the CO group is still coordinated to the tungsten atom. The four alkyne C carbons give rise to a single resonance at 195.2 ppm, which is between those mea-

W(PhC $\equiv$ CPh)<sub>3</sub>(PMe<sub>3</sub>) (2) [24] was obtained in 74% yield. Since compound 2 is colorless, the intense red color apparently arises from the reaction intermediates.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Compound 3: IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 1907 ( $\nu$ CO) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 20°C): 7.59–7.10 (m, Ph), 0.85 (t, PMe<sub>3</sub>) ppm. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 20°C): -30.2 (s; with <sup>183</sup>W satellites, <sup>1</sup>J(W–P) = 262 Hz) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -105°C): 246.6 (s, CO; with <sup>183</sup>W satellites, <sup>1</sup>J(W–C) = 136 Hz), 197.2, 191.3, 173.6 (s, =C), 142.1–122.2 (Ph), 18.8 (t, PCH3) ppm.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

<sup>0022-328</sup>X/97/\$17.00 © 1997 Elsevier Science S.A. All rights reserved. *PII* \$0022-328X(97)00418-X



Fig. 1. 75.4 MHz variable-temperature <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra of W(Ph<sup>\*</sup>C=CPh)<sub>3</sub>(PMe<sub>3</sub> (2<sup>\*</sup>) and W(Ph<sup>\*</sup>C=CPh)<sub>2</sub>(<sup>\*</sup>CO)(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (3<sup>\*</sup>) in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The resonances of CH<sub>3</sub> groups have been magnified for clarity.

sured for four-electron donor (ca. 220 ppm) and twoelectron donor (ca. 120 ppm) alkyne ligand [8,26]. The triplet signal at 18.8 ppm for the methyl carbons indicates trans geometry for the two PMe<sub>3</sub> ligands. This pattern is attributed to virtual coupling [25,27] when the methyl group is coupled to both its own and the trans phosphorus nuclear about equally, giving rise to a virtual triplet. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of **3** also presents a triplet signal at 0.85 ppm for the methyl protons, and its appearance is invariant with field (200 and 300 MHz).

The variable-temperature  $^{13}C \{^{1}H\}$  NMR spectra of a mixture containing W(Ph<sup>\*</sup>C $\equiv$ CPh)<sub>3</sub>(PMe<sub>3</sub>) (2<sup>\*</sup>) and  $W(Ph^*C \equiv CPh)_2(^*CO)(PMe_3)_2$  (3<sup>\*</sup>) (the star indicating ca. 20%  $^{13}$ C enrichment) in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> are displayed in Fig. 1. The resonances for  $2^*$  at 196.3, 179.6 ( $\equiv$ C), and 16.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>) ppm remain unchanged at low temperatures. In contrast, the alkyne  $C \equiv C$  resonance of  $3^*$ collapses at 183 K and splits into a 1:2:1 pattern at 197.2, 191.3, and 173.6 ppm below 168 K. It is probable the two alkyne ligands are mutually orthogonal, with one  $C \equiv C$  moiety parallel and the other  $C \equiv C$ moiety perpendicular to the W-P vector, and a dynamic process by rotating the W-alkyne bonds could equilibrate the two C=C units. Since CO and PMe<sub>3</sub> are two-electron donor, the two alkynes must supply six electrons to satisfy the 18-electron rule. A semi-empirical MO calculation (PM3)<sup>2</sup> based on the proposed structure suggests that the parallel alkyne donates four electrons  $(\pi_{\parallel} + \pi_{\perp})$  and the perpendicular alkyne donates two electrons  $(\pi_{\parallel})$  to the tungsten atom.

The decay of the CO stretching peak of 1 in IR is found to follow first order kinetics with the concentration of PMe<sub>3</sub>. <sup>3</sup> The presence of extra diphenylacetylene does not affect the disappearing rate of 1, but does inhibit the formation of 3. Introduction of PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph (1 equiv.) into the solution containing 2 and 3 also affords W(PhC=CPh)<sub>3</sub>(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph) [21] in 10% yield. Furthermore, treating 1 with PMe<sub>3</sub> in the presence of TolC = CTol leads to mixed products W(PhC=CPh)<sub>3-n</sub>(TolC=CTol)<sub>n</sub>(PMe<sub>3</sub>) (n = 0-3). <sup>4</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> MacSPARTAN Plus, Wave function, Inc., Irvine, CA, USA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The reaction was followed by intermittently withdrawing samples with a hypodermic syringe and monitoring the infrared spectra in the v(CO) region. The concentration of 1 was determined by measuring the height of the band at 2062 cm<sup>-1</sup> recorded in absorbance units.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> These compounds are not separable by TLC. The mass spectrum of the mixture shows the molecular ions at m/z 878, 850, 822 and 794 (<sup>184</sup>W) for each components. The <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum gives four signals for the PMe<sub>3</sub> resonances. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum displays four doublet signals for the PMe<sub>3</sub> groups and two broad signals for the methyl groups of ditolylacetylene.



These require reversible dissociation-association of the alkyne and phosphine ligands to occur during the reaction. Attempts to reform 1 by bubbling CO through a toluene solution of 2 at 100°C give no reaction. Only under harsh conditions (2 atm CO, 120°C, 48 h), 1 is obtained in 6% yield accompanied with considerable decomposition.

A reaction sequence can be drawn (Scheme 1) to rationalize the observations. The kinetic and spectra data have precluded the mechanism of initial CO dissociation. Thus, PMe<sub>3</sub> is incorporated to 1 to produce  $W(PhC \equiv CPh)_3(CO)(PMe_3)$ , which may undergo decarbonylation to account for immediate formation of 2, or undergo further addition with PMe<sub>3</sub> to yield  $W(PhC \equiv CPh)_3(CO)(PMe_3)_2$ . Since octahedral d<sup>6</sup> metal complexes have no vacant  $d_{\pi}$  orbitals, a repulsive interaction between the metal filled  $d_{\pi}$  orbitals and the alkyne  $\pi_{\perp}$  orbitals is anticipated [28]. Elimination of an alkyne ligand from W(PhC=CPh)<sub>3</sub>(CO)(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> is therefore accessible to provide a vacant metal orbital mate for the filled  $\pi_{\perp}$  alkyne orbitals, forming  $W(PhC \equiv CPh)_2(CO)(PMe_3)_2$  (3). Indeed, free PhC=CPh is detected by <sup>13</sup>C NMR (89.1 ppm), which vanishes when the reaction is completed. It appears that conversion between  $W(PhC \equiv CPh)_3(CO)(PMe_3)$  and 3 is reversible to meet the crossover results. Subsequent transformation from 3 to 2 apparently follows the reversal pathway. It is also possible that 3 carries out decarbonylation to generate  $W(PhC \equiv CPh)_2(PMe_3)_2$ , and  $PMe_2/PhC \equiv CPh$  exchange to yield 2. Connor and Hudson [29] has previously reported the reaction of W(MeSC=CSMe)<sub>3</sub>(CO) with Me<sub>2</sub>PC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PMe<sub>2</sub> (dmpe) to produce W(MeSC=CSMe)<sub>2</sub>(CO)( $\eta^2$  - dmpe), analogous to 3, and eventually W(MeSC=CSMe)<sub>2</sub>( $\eta^2$  – dmpe), Jolly and Zakrzewski [30] showed that treating  $Cr(PMe_3)_2Cl_2$  with PhC=CPh in the presence of active-Mg generated  $Cr(PhC \equiv CPh)_2(PMe_3)_2$ , which reacted further to yield Cr(PhC≡CPh)<sub>3</sub>(PMe<sub>3</sub>). Recently, Brauers et al. [31] reported the reaction of  $(C_2B_9H_{11})Mo(PhC \equiv CPh)_2(CO)$  and  $P(OMe)_3$  to afford  $(C_2B_9H_{11})Mo(PhC \equiv CPh)[P(OMe)_3]_2$  and  $(C_2B_9H_{11})Mo(PhC \equiv CPh)_2P(OMe)_3$ .

It is of interest to compare analogous reaction of  $W(PhC \equiv CPh)_3(NCMe)$  [23] and  $PMe_3$ . This reaction to yield 2 (90%) is completed in 2 h at 25°C, while neither reaction intermediates nor solution color change is observed. King [32] has shown that in a  $W(alkyne)_3$  unit of either  $D_{3h}$  or  $C_{3r}$  symmetry, the three alkyne ligands can donate a total of only 10 electrons to the tungsten atom. A pathway dissociating NCMe to generate an unstable, 16-electron species  $[W(PhC \equiv CPh)_3]$  is plausible. Alternatively, association of  $PMe_3$  to generate  $W(PhC \equiv CPh)_3(NCMe)(PMe_3)$  is more likely, but consecutive elimination of the labile NCMe ligand seems to override further reaction with PMe\_3, leading to 2 predominantly.

## Acknowledgements

We are grateful for support of this work by the National Science Council of Taiwan.

## References

- [1] D.P. Tate, J.M. Augl, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 85 (1963) 2174.
- [2] D.P. Tate, J.M. Augl, W.M. Ritchey, B.L. Rose, J.G. Grasselli,
- J. Am. Chem. Soc. 86 (1964) 3261.
- [3] R.B. King, A. Fronzaglia, Inorg. Chem. 7 (1968) 1837.
- [4] J.M. Maher, J.R. Fox, B.M. Foxman, N.J. Cooper, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 2347.
- [5] J. Okuda, K.H. Zimmermann, J. Organomet. Chem. 384 (1990) C21.
- [6] U. Rosenthal, G. Oehme, V.V. Burlakev, P.V. Petrovskii, V.B. Sur, M.E. Vol'pin, J. Organomet. Chem. 391 (1990) 119.
- [7] J.A. Potenza, R.J. Johnson, R. Chirico, A. Efraty, Inorg. Chem. 16 (1977) 2354.
- [8] J.L. Templeton, Adv. Organomet. Chem. 29 (1989) 1.

- [9] S. Otsuka, A. Nakamura, Adv. Organomet. Chem. 14 (1976) 245.
- [10] B.C. Ward, J.L. Templeton, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 102 (1980) 1532.
- [11] D.J. Wink, T. Greagan, Organometallics 9 (1990) 328.
- [12] E. Sappa, A. Tiripicchio, P. Braunstein, Chem. Rev. 83 (1983) 203.
- [13] D.B. Grotjahn, Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II, vol. 12, Pergamon, Oxford, 1995, p. 741.
- [14] N.E. Shore, Chem. Rev. 88 (1988) 1081.
- [15] W.-Y. Yeh, L.-K. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 114 (1992) 2267.
- [16] W.-Y. Yeh, S.-M. Peng, G.-H. Lee, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm., (1993) 1056.
- [17] W.-Y. Yeh, S.-M. Peng, L.-K. Liu, Inorg. Chem. 32 (1993) 2965.
- [18] W.-Y. Yeh, C.-S. Ting, S.-M. Peng, G.-H. Lee, Organometallics 14 (1995) 1417.
- [19] W.-Y. Yeh, C.-L. Ho, M.Y. Chiang, I.-T. Chen, Organometallics 16 (1997).
- [20] K.J. Odell, E.M. Hyde, B.L. Shaw, I. Shepherd, J. Organomet. Chem. 168 (1979) 103.

- [21] D.J. Wink, N.J. Cooper, Organometallics 10 (1990) 494.
- [22] K.W. Chiu, D. Lyons, G. Wilkinson, M. Thornton-Pett, M.B. Hursthouse, Polyhedron 2 (1983) 803.
- [23] W.-Y. Yeh, C.-S. Ting, C.-F. Chih, J. Organomet. Chem. 427 (1991) 257.
- [24] W.-Y. Yeh, C.-S. Ting, S.-M. Chien, S.-M. Peng, G.-H. Lee, Inorg. Chim. Acta 255 (1997) 335.
- [25] R.H. Crabtree, The Organometallic Chemistry of the Transition Metals, Wiley, New York, 1994.
  [26] B.E. Mann, B.F. Taylor, <sup>13</sup>C NMR Data for Organometallic
- [26] B.E. Mann, B.F. Taylor, <sup>13</sup>C NMR Data for Organometallic Compounds, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
- [27] J.C. Green, M.L.H. Green, Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1973, p. 355.
- [28] K.R. Birdwhistell, T.L. Tonker, J.L. Templeton, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 109 (1987) 1401.
- [29] J.A. Connor, G.A. Hudson, J. Organomet. Chem. 185 (1980) 385.
- [30] P.W. Jolly, U. Zakrzewski, Polyhedron 10 (1991) 1427.
- [31] G. Brauers, S.J. Dossett, M. Green, M.F. Mahon, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1995) 985.
- [32] R.B. King, Inorg. Chem. 7 (1968) 1044.